From: <u>Lapcevic, Joseph P</u>
To: <u>Jordan, Ronald</u>

Cc: Thomas Finseth; Schroeder, Cuc; Flanders, Phillip; Cannon, William E

Subject: RE: Available for a short call on Monday?

Date: Monday, June 02, 2014 10:21:48 AM

Ron.

I reviewed my original raw data download and in regards to question # 1 below, the values on the 5/13/2014 spreadsheet are correct (12.2, 42.4, 14.4, & 17). My original download file had data in both ng/L and ug/L. I assume I made an error with the conversion my first time through on these 4 samples.

Regarding question #3, the correct dates are 11/29/2012; 12/19/2012; & 12/21/2012. It appears I moved the data for the three samples in those two columns (Hg 245.1 & As 200.8) down an extra three rows, which misaligned the collection/sample dates.

I have no explanation for question # 2. I left a message for Randy Jones and will try to contact a few others that are still around that may have a recollection of what may have been happening during that time frame (2/28/2012 through 4/3/2012, plus 6/5/2012). Hopefully, I'll have more to report during our 2:30pm call today.

Joe Lapcevic
Supervisor, Water Permitting
(724) 838 - 6099 work
(234) 678 - 2385 fax
FirstEnergy Corp.
800 Cabin Hill Drive
Greensburg, PA 15601-1689

From: Jordan, Ronald [mailto:Jordan.Ronald@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:20 PM

To: Lapcevic, Joseph P

Cc: Thomas Finseth; Schroeder, Cuc; Flanders, Phillip

Subject: Available for a short call on Monday?

Joe,

Are you available for a short call on Monday? We have just a few more questions, including these listed below:

1. Comparing the data you provided on 5/2/2014 and 5/13/2014, we observed apparent data entry errors. Please specify which values for mercury Chem Precip Effluent are correct.

Date	5/2/2014 dataset	5/13/2014 dataset
	(Hg, ng/L)	(Hg, ng/L)
12/20/2011	122	12.2
1/3/2012	424	42.4
2/2/2012	144	14.4
2/21/2012	170	17

2. What is the cause for elevated mercury concentrations for Chem Precip Effluent on the following dates?

- a. 2/28/2012 (1520 ng/L)
- b. 3/13/2012 (1160 ng/L)
- c. 3/20/2012 (1710 ng/L)
- d. 3/27/2012 (1320 ng/L)
- e. 4/3/2012 (3400 ng/L)
- f. 6/5/2012 (830 ng/L)
- 3. We noticed dates for Method 245.1 (mercury) data and Method 200.8 (arsenic) data changed between your original spreadsheet provided 2/20/2014 and the updated spreadsheets provided 5/2/2014 and 5/13/2014. Specifically, the 2/20/2014 spreadsheet shows the 245.1 and 200.8 data on 12/18/12, 1/4/13, and 1/8/13; the 5/2/2014 spreadsheet shows these data on 11/29/12, 12/19/12, and 12/21/12. Which dates are correct?

The information contained in this message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately, and delete the original message.